

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,515	04/22/2004	Fred D. Solomon	SLM.P.US0007A	7354
75	90 06/10/2005		EXAM	INER
Phillip L. Kenner, Esq.			ALI, MOHAMMAD M	
RENNER, KENNER, GRIEVE, BOBAK,				PAPER NUMBER
TAYLOR & WEBER First National Tower, Fourth Floor			3744	
Akron, OH 44				_

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			//
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/829,515	SOLOMON, FRED	D.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mohammad Ali	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this com ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	April 2004.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, pro		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		<u>-</u>	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age
Attachment(s)			
)	4) L Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
(PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date			52)

Application/Control Number: 10/829,515

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-20, drawn to heat generator, classified in class 62, subclass
 324.1.
- II. Claims 21-25 and 33-34, drawn to sealing assembly, classified in class 62, subclass 324.6.
- III. Claims 26-29, drawn to turbo booster, classified in class 62, subclass 510.
- IV. Claims 30-32, drawn to a pump, classified in class 417, subclass 279.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions II deals with sealing assembly whereas invention I deals with heat generator; invention deals with turbo booster where as others do not deal with turbo booster and invention IV deals with a pump whereas the others do not deal with pump.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,515

Art Unit: 3744

Page 3

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, III or IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A: Fig. 1-4;

Species B: Fig. 5;

Species C: Fig. 6-7 and

Species D: Fig. 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 3744

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Phillip L. Kenner on 06/06/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Ali whose telephone number is (571) 272-4806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-4834

Application/Control Number: 10/829,515

Art Unit: 3744

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mohammad M. Ali June 7, 2005